Prioritisation based on a combination of the following factors:Type of road / Who affected / Accident history – Prioritisation 1 = High, 2 = Med, 3 = Low. Total = 2 High Priority – 6 Low Priority (comparative)

Issue No	Details	Date sent	From	Info from CAM	Priority from Police	Comments from Police	Comments from Safety Camera Partnership	CSW Eligibility	Area Board support
539	Chitterne – speeding through village	04.11.09	Mike Lucas	Strong local support. They have approached the area board who support their concerns about road safety. They would like to evidence the need for engineering solutions and see this as the first step. Volunteers available.	1	Pc 2366 Pegrum - Chitterne are currently as Camera Speed Enforcement site and as they are not allowed to have both, they are currently having discussions as to which they would like to have in place as a village.	Local community need to decide if they want to switch from Camera Speed Enforcement Site to Community Speedwatch.	Y	Y
335	A350 Longbridge Deverill and Crockerton	05.10.09	Parish Council – Mrs Few	Strong local support. They have approached the area board who agreed that they should be prioritised for CSW. Volunteers identified.	1		Need exact locations (inc street furniture to secure device) before survey can be carried out.	?	Y
640	Chapmanslade: 1. Lack of safe crossing places for school. Unable to replace crossing attendant. 2. Speeding throughout village, compounded by parking issues on linear High Street and increased Frome traffic.	10.12.09	School/Parish Council - Jill Wilmot	Strong local support. Identified volunteers.	1		See SCP report.	Y	Y
	Upper Deverills B3095 (Brixton and Monkton Deverill)	04.01.10	Mr. Blue	Identifying volunteers. According to resident, evidence from previous speed checks have shown there to be a problem.	1		See SCP report. Brixton Deverill Monkton Deverill	Y N	
690	Boreham Road, Warminster	25.01.10	PCSO Donohoe	Issue raised by PCSO. Referred back to police for action. Long road – people travelling too fast. No information on volunteers for community speedwatch.	2	The survey was carried out between 22nd and 29th August 2008. This site does not meet the criteria for camera enforcement but does meet the criteria for Community Speed Watch.	See SCP report.	N	
	Corsley	07.12.09	Pc 2366 Pegrum		3	survey early 2009 - does not fulfil criteria	See SCP report.	N	
	Crockerton	07.12.09	Pc 2366 Pegrum		2	fulfil the criteria for CSW – in the process of identifying	See SCP report.	Y	

Prioritisation based on a combination of the following factors:Type of road / Who affected / Accident history – Prioritisation 1 = High, 2 = Med, 3 = Low. **Total = 2 High Priority – 6 Low Priority (comparative)**

Issue No	Details	Date	From	Info from CAM	Priority from	Comments from Police	Comments from Safety Camera Partnership	CSW Eligibility	Area Board
INO		sent			Police		Camera Farmership	Eligibility	support
						volunteers			
	Maiden Bradley	07.12.09	Pc 2366 Pegrum		3	survey early 2009 - does not fulfil criteria	_	N	
	Sutton Veny	07.12.09	Pc 2366 Pegrum		2	fulfil the criteria for CSW – in the process of identifying volunteers	See SCP report.	Y	